Long-term cortisol measures predict Alzheimer disease risk
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To examine whether long-term measures of cortisol predict Alzheimer disease (AD) risk.

Method: We used a prospective longitudinal design to examine whether cortisol dysregulation was related to AD risk. Participants were from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging (BLSA) and submitted multiple 24-hour urine samples over an average interval of 10.56 years. Urinary free cortisol (UFC) and creatinine (Cr) were measured, and a UFC/Cr ratio was calculated to standardize UFC. To measure cortisol regulation, we used within-person UFC/Cr level (i.e., within-person mean), change in UFC/Cr over time (i.e., within-person slope), and UFC/Cr variability (i.e., within-person coefficient of variation). Cox regression was used to assess whether UFC/Cr measures predicted AD risk.

Results: UFC/Cr level and UFC/Cr variability, but not UFC/Cr slope, were significant predictors of AD risk an average of 2.9 years before AD onset. Elevated UFC/Cr level and elevated UFC/Cr variability were related to a 1.31- and 1.38-times increase in AD risk, respectively. In a sensitivity analysis, increased UFC/Cr level and increased UFC/Cr variability predicted increased AD risk an average of 6 years before AD onset.

Conclusions: Cortisol dysregulation as manifested by high UFC/Cr level and high UFC/Cr variability may modulate the downstream clinical expression of AD pathology or be a preclinical marker of AD.
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GLOSSARY

Ab = β-amyloid; AD = Alzheimer disease; BLSA = Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; Cr = creatinine; DSM-III-R = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition, Revised; HR = hazard ratio; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; UFC = urinary free cortisol.

Cortisol dysregulation is commonly found in patients with Alzheimer disease (AD)1–5 and has been related to AD pathology in animals and humans.4,6–10 In rodent models, glucocorticoid increases have preceded β-amyloid (Aβ) plaques and tau phosphorylation (for review, see references 7 and 8). Glucocorticoid treatment in nonhuman primates has been associated with increases in more pathogenic (Aβ42) relative to less pathogenic (Aβ40) Aβ species.9 In patients with AD, elevations in baseline cortisol have predicted AD progression,4,6 and in cognitively normal and older adults with AD, higher cortisol has been related to increased Aβ brain burden.10

Despite these findings, few prospective longitudinal studies have investigated whether cortisol dysregulation precedes AD. The Rotterdam Study found no significant association between baseline cortisol and risk of incident dementia or AD 7.1 years (range 0.1–9.6 years) later in 243 adults (mean age 72 years).11 These findings are limited, however, by the assessment of cortisol at only one time on 1 day.

The current study measured 24-hour urinary free cortisol (UFC) from a mean of 4.3 (range 2–12) samples per person spanning a follow-up interval of 10.56 years (range 1–31 years) to examine cortisol regulation as a predictor of AD onset. UFC was collected from 1,025
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participants in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging (BLSA) who were dementia-free throughout UFC collection. Over the course of the study, 94 individuals met diagnostic criteria for AD. This study examines the association between longitudinal measures of UFC and AD risk.

**METHOD Participants.** Participants were volunteers from the BLSA, a study of healthy adults ≥20 years of age performed by the National Institute on Aging. For the present study, the initial sample included 1,865 participants. People who were diagnosed with mild cognitive impairment (n = 110) or non-AD dementia (n = 52) or were cognitively impaired but lacked a diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment (n = 9) were excluded. Cortisol collected after the onset of AD or exclusionary neurologic disorder was not included, resulting in the exclusion of 33 cases (31 participants with AD, 1 with Parkinson disease, and 1 with stroke). These exclusions resulted in a sample of 1,661 participants who were free of cognitive impairment at baseline. The present analysis focused on control participants, defined as those who did not develop cognitive impairment or dementia (n = 1,519), and participants who subsequently developed AD (n = 142), referred to as future AD. Twenty-four-hour UFC and creatinine (Cr) levels were measured, and a UFC/Cr ratio was calculated to standardize UFC. To increase measurement reliability, we used a minimum of two 24-hour UFC/Cr values to calculate within-person UFC/Cr measures; thus, we excluded participants who had only 1 UFC/Cr measure (n = 563; future AD = 44, controls = 519). The group with 1 UFC/Cr measure was significantly younger (mean age = 51.66 years) at baseline compared to the group with >1 UFC/Cr value (mean age = 60.35 years; t(1659) = −10.78, p < 0.0001) and had a significantly greater proportion of nonwhite participants (52.7% vs 25.3%, χ²(1) = 10.05, p = 0.002). BLSA recruitment strategies accounted for the differences between groups. Because of continuous recruitment into the BLSA and later efforts to recruit more minorities, younger adults and minorities were recruited later and consequently had fewer UFC/Cr measures. There were no other differences in demographic variables between groups with 1 and >1 UFC/Cr measures (all p > 0.05).

Because APOE ε4 is a known risk factor for AD and because we use APOE ε4 status in statistical models, we excluded participants who did not have APOE ε4 data (n = 73; future AD = 4, controls = 69). There were no significant differences in UFC/Cr level (t(1,096) = 1.00, p = 0.32, slope t(1,096) = 0.52, p = 0.61) and variability (t(1,096) = −0.52, p = 0.61) between the groups with and without APOE ε4 data. Exclusions resulted in a final sample of 1,025 participants, including 94 future AD (total number of cortisol samples = 4,435; see table 1 for descriptive statistics).

**Measures.** UFC/Cr ratio. A UFC/Cr ratio was calculated to account for samples missing collection duration or volume and to control for age-related differences in kidney filtration, a practice consistent with prior studies. The correlation between total 24-hour UFC (μg/24 h) and the UFC/Cr ratio was r = 0.81 (p < 0.001). UFC/Cr level, slope, and variability were calculated to assess the relationship between cortisol regulation and AD risk. Level represented the within-person UFC/Cr mean; slope, the within-individual rate of change; and variability, the within-person UFC/Cr coefficient of variation. UFC/Cr level, slope, and variability were calculated for all participants who had ≥2 UFC/Cr values. UFC/Cr slope was estimated with a linear mixed model (SAS version 9.2, SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC) and represented the change in 24-hour cortisol output over years, not the diurnal rhythm across the day. Within-person UFC/Cr variability was calculated with a previously used method: UFC/Cr variability = antilog (within-person SD on the log scale) − 1.13

**Covariates.** Variables previously found to be related to cortisol and AD were included as covariates in Cox regression analyses. These included sex, race (white or nonwhite), education, smoking status (never or ever smoked), body mass index (BMI), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein, hypertension (never or ever diagnosed), statins (never or ever taken), systemic corticosteroids (never or ever taken), diuretics (never or ever taken), and symptoms of depression measured with the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale.14 Continuous covariates represented averages from all visits to collect cortisol. Categorical covariates indicated whether the attribute was ever reported during a visit. APOE ε4 was also entered as a covariate in the investigations of UFC/Cr measures as predictors of AD risk. Carrier status was defined as having 1 or 2 ε4 alleles. Noncarrier status was defined as having no ε4 alleles.

**Procedure.** Participants traveled biennially to the National Institute on Aging for comprehensive medical, physiologic, and neuropsychological evaluations. Participants submitted 24-hour urine specimens according to a standardized protocol that instructed participants to discard their first morning specimen and to collect all urine for the next 24 hours into containers provided to them. The samples were labeled with subject code, date, time, total urine volume, and duration of collection. Subsequently, multiple 20-ml samples of urine were divided into aliquots and frozen and stored in the BLSA specimen bank. UFC and Cr were then measured from these aliquots. Steroid hormones remain stable in frozen plasma and urine even when specimens have been stored for >10 years.15

UFC was extracted by nonpolar solvents and then measured with liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry. All analyses were performed by Esoterix, Inc. Interassay coefficients of variation for mean UFC values of 0.05, 2.84, 5.53, and 9.41 μg/dL were 13.4%, 6.6%, 4.4%, and 5.3%, respectively. Interassay coefficients of variation for Cr mean values of 66.6 and 145.8 ng/mL were 1.5% and 1.1%, respectively.

**Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents.** The BLSA was approved by the National Institutes of Health Institutional Review Board. Analysis of study data was approved by the Georgia Institute of Technology Institutional Review Board. Individuals were infants and provided informed consent at each of their individual BLSA study site visits in Baltimore, MD.

**Cognitive status.** Clinical and neuropsychological data from BLSA participants were reviewed at a consensus conference if they screened positive on the Blessed Information Memory Concentration score (score ≥4),16 if their Clinical Dementia Rating score was ≥0.5 from subject or informant report,17 or if concerns were raised about their cognitive status. In addition, all participants were evaluated by case conference on death or withdrawal. Selection bias was reduced because all participants were evaluated similarly. Diagnoses of dementia and AD were based on the DSM-III-R18 and the National Institute of Neurologic and Communication Disorders and Stroke–Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria,19 respectively. Mild cognitive impairment was based on the Petersen criteria20 and diagnosed when cognitive impairment was evident for a single domain (typically memory)20 or cognitive impairment in multiple domains occurred without significant functional loss in activities of daily living.
Table 1  Demographic and study variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic and study variables</th>
<th>Final sample (n = 1,025)</th>
<th>Future AD participants (n = 94)</th>
<th>CTL participants (n = 931)</th>
<th>Future AD vs CTL, p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UFC/Cr samples per person (n)</td>
<td>4.33 (2.14)</td>
<td>4.19 (1.89)</td>
<td>4.34 (2.16)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFC/Cr follow-up, y</td>
<td>10.56 (5.99)</td>
<td>11.09 (5.69)</td>
<td>10.51 (6.02)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval between last UFC/Cr and AD onset, y</td>
<td>2.86 (2.22)</td>
<td>2.86 (2.22)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFC/Cr level, μg/g</td>
<td>18.73 (9.11)</td>
<td>23.04 (10.97)</td>
<td>18.29 (8.79)</td>
<td>Future AD &gt; CTL, &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFC/Cr slope</td>
<td>-0.04 (0.12)</td>
<td>-0.03 (0.12)</td>
<td>-0.04 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFC/Cr variability</td>
<td>0.52 (0.43)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.56)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.41)</td>
<td>Future AD &gt; CTL, &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline age, y</td>
<td>60.41 (13.05)</td>
<td>72.30 (8.18)</td>
<td>59.21 (12.85)</td>
<td>Future AD &gt; CTL, &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
<td>16.73 (2.64)</td>
<td>16.31 (2.80)</td>
<td>16.78 (2.62)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>502 (49.0)</td>
<td>48 (51.1)</td>
<td>454 (48.8)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White race</td>
<td>755 (73.7)</td>
<td>86 (91.5)</td>
<td>669 (71.9)</td>
<td>Future AD &gt; CTL, &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE e4 (+)</td>
<td>279 (27.2)</td>
<td>34 (36.2)</td>
<td>245 (26.3)</td>
<td>Future AD &gt; CTL, 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>27.0 (4.32)</td>
<td>26.35 (3.97)</td>
<td>27.03 (4.35)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-density lipoprotein, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.39 (0.39)</td>
<td>1.36 (0.33)</td>
<td>1.39 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-density lipoprotein, mmol/L</td>
<td>2.94 (0.69)</td>
<td>3.08 (0.63)</td>
<td>2.92 (0.70)</td>
<td>Future AD &gt; CTL, 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression symptoms (CESD scale)</td>
<td>5.94 (4.74)</td>
<td>8.72 (6.28)</td>
<td>5.66 (4.47)</td>
<td>Future AD &gt; CTL, &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td>561 (54.9)</td>
<td>62 (66.0)</td>
<td>499 (53.8)</td>
<td>Future AD &gt; CTL, 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretic drugs</td>
<td>305 (29.8)</td>
<td>33 (35.1)</td>
<td>272 (29.2)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin drugs</td>
<td>449 (43.8)</td>
<td>28 (29.8)</td>
<td>421 (45.2)</td>
<td>CTL &gt; future AD, 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroid systemic drugs</td>
<td>300 (29.3)</td>
<td>27 (28.7)</td>
<td>273 (29.3)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>472 (46.0)</td>
<td>46 (48.9)</td>
<td>426 (45.8)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AD = Alzheimer disease; CESD = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression; CTL = control; UFC/Cr = urinary free cortisol/creatinine ratio. Differences between CTL and future AD groups were tested with the t test for continuous variables and χ² test for categorical variables. Between-group differences in UFC/Cr were examined with a one-way analysis of covariance, controlling for baseline age.

Statistical approach. Differences in demographic and study variables between the future AD and control groups were examined with independent-samples t tests and Pearson χ² tests. Between-group differences in UFC/Cr were examined with analysis of covariance, controlling for baseline age. Cox regression models were used to assess the relationship between UFC/Cr measures and AD risk. Event time was age at AD onset for AD participants, and censored time was age at death or last visit for controls. UFC/Cr measures were standardized. Continuous covariates were also standardized and represented within-person averages. Categorical covariates were coded at 0 and 1, where 0 indicated the absence of the attribute and served as the reference category in survival analyses. Each UFC/Cr measure was analyzed in unadjusted and adjusted models. Backward elimination using likelihood ratio statistics was used to select the final adjusted model. Participants with missing data (0.3% of total) were dropped from the analyses. Significant UFC/Cr measures were then subjected to a sensitivity analysis to determine whether UFC/Cr collected ≥2 and ≥5 years before AD onset would predict AD risk. Covariates from the final adjusted model were also controlled for in this analysis. Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS version 19.

RESULTS Between-group differences in UFC/Cr. With baseline age controlled for, the future AD group had significantly higher UFC/Cr level ($F_{1,1,022} = 23.29$, $p < 0.001$) and UFC/Cr variability ($F_{1,1,022} = 12.65$, $p < 0.001$) than the control group (figure). There was no significant difference between groups in UFC/Cr slope ($F_{1,1,022} = 1.71$, $p = 0.19$).

Relationship of UFC/Cr to AD risk. Separate Cox regressions were conducted with each UFC/Cr measure (level, slope, and variability) to investigate the relationship between cortisol regulation and AD risk (event = 94; censored = 804 for unadjusted models and 801 for adjusted models).

UFC/Cr level. In the unadjusted model, higher UFC/Cr level was significantly related to greater AD risk a with hazard ratio (HR) of 1.24 (table 2). Elevated UFC/Cr level was also a significant predictor of AD risk in the final adjusted model that controlled for APOE e4 carrier status, smoking status, BMI, LDL, and depression symptoms. Every SD increase in UFC/Cr level was related to a 1.31-times increase in risk for AD (table 2). APOE e4, BMI, smoking status, and depression symptoms were also significant predictors of AD risk. Being an APOE e4 carrier, having
increased BMI and depression symptoms on average over the study period, and ever having smoked were associated with increased risk for AD.

**UFC/Cr slope.** UFC/Cr slope was not significantly associated with AD risk in the unadjusted (Wald = 0.40, \( p = 0.53, HR = 1.05, 95\% CI = 0.90–1.23\)) or adjusted (Wald = 0.81, \( p = 0.37, HR = 1.09, 95\% CI = 0.90–1.32\)) model.

**UFC/Cr variability.** In the unadjusted model, higher UFC/Cr variability was significantly related to greater AD risk with an HR of 1.38 (table 2). Increased UFC/Cr variability was also a significant predictor of AD risk in the final adjusted model that controlled for \( APOE \varepsilon 4 \) carrier status, smoking status, LDL, systemic corticosteroid use, and depression symptoms. Every SD increase in UFC/Cr variability was related to a 1.38-times increase in AD risk (table 2). \( APOE \varepsilon 4 \), smoking status, LDL, and depression symptoms were significant predictors of AD risk. Being an \( APOE \varepsilon 4 \) carrier, ever having smoked, and having increased LDL and depression symptoms on average over the study period were associated with increased risk for AD.

**Sensitivity analysis.** Using measures \( \geq 2 \) years before AD onset.

The average number of years between the last visit and year of AD onset was 3.8 for UFC/Cr level and 3.6 for UFC/Cr variability. These numbers and the event number (see below) differ for level and variability analyses because we included participants with only one UFC/Cr measure to calculate UFC/Cr level. This approach helped to maintain consistency with the final sample and was followed for all sensitivity analyses. Higher UFC/Cr level and higher UFC/Cr variability significantly predicted greater AD risk when controlling for final model covariates (UFC/Cr level: event = 94, censored = 801; UFC/Cr variability: event = 88, censored = 801, table 3). Every SD increase in UFC/Cr level and UFC/Cr variability was related to a 1.30- and 1.39-times increase in AD risk, respectively (table 3).

Using measures \( \geq 5 \) years before AD onset. The average number of years between the last visit and year of AD onset was 6.3 for UFC/Cr level and 6.1 for UFC/Cr variability. Higher UFC/Cr level and higher UFC/Cr variability significantly predicted greater AD risk when controlling for final model covariates (UFC/Cr level: event = 80, censored = 801; UFC/Cr variability: event = 62, censored = 801, table 4). Every SD increase in UFC/Cr level and UFC/Cr variability was related to a 1.29- and 1.42-times increase in AD risk, respectively (table 4).

**DISCUSSION** The present study found that long-term exposure to and fluctuation in UFC are associated with risk of AD. High UFC/Cr level and high UFC/Cr variability increased the risk for AD by a factor of 1.31 and 1.38, respectively. The significant relationship between these 2 UFC/Cr measures and AD risk was maintained when using UFC/Cr data collected \( \geq 5 \) years before AD onset and when controlling for well-known AD risk factors such as \( APOE \varepsilon 4 \) and depression symptoms.

Elevated cortisol may affect age-related cognitive and brain changes and possibly facilitate the development of AD. In middle-aged adults, cortisol increases have been related to worse executive functioning and decreased prefrontal cortical volume; in older adults without dementia, increases have been related to impaired episodic memory and hippocampal atrophy. Glucocorticoid treatment in rodent models of AD has been associated with increased \( A\beta \) plaques and tau phosphorylation. Elevated cortisol has been related to hippocampal atrophy and cognitive decline in patients with AD and brain \( A\beta \) deposition in cognitively normal and older adults with AD. Results from these studies in conjunction with
the present data suggest that elevated cortisol may play a role in AD pathogenesis. Our cortisol measures in the sensitivity analysis preceded AD onset by 6 years on average, a time period within the preclinical phase of disease when AD pathology is likely evident. Thus, cortisol may play a role in modifying the downstream clinical expression of underlying AD pathology.

UFC/Cr variability also predicted AD risk. Participants whose UFC/Cr output fluctuated over time were at greater risk for AD than those with stable output. Intraindividual variability in certain parameters, independent of average levels, has been found to predict disease development. Increased intraindividual variability in cognitive performance has been shown to presage cognitive decline and dementia and is thought to reflect age-related changes in neural structure. Congruent with these studies, our findings support the value of examining variability in physiologic measures as predictors of dementia.

The hippocampus, which is affected in the early stages of AD, plays an important role in cortisol regulation, inhibiting the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis after stressor-induced cortisol elevations, allowing cortisol increases after psychosocial stress, and controlling the cortisol awakening response. Early pathology in the hippocampus before AD onset could alter cortisol regulation, resulting in unstable cortisol output and high cortisol variability. Conversely, unstable cortisol fluctuations over time may also influence the health of the hippocampus, a glucocorticoid-rich subcortical region. Increased cortisol variability and level could result from psychological distress. Neuroticism, which is characterized as a tendency to experience psychological distress, has been shown to be a risk factor for AD. We speculate

### Table 2

Results of Cox regression analyses with urinary free cortisol/creatinine measures as predictors of Alzheimer disease hazard ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UFC/Cr predictor</th>
<th>UFC/Cr level</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>UFC/Cr variability</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>Wald statistic</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFC/Cr</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.04-1.46</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.16-1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final adjusted model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFC/Cr</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.08-1.58</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.16-1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE e4 (0 = noncarrier)</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>1.72-4.26</td>
<td>18.41</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>1.75-4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status (0 = never smoked)</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.27-3.09</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.21-2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.04-1.80</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-density lipoprotein</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.99-1.50</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.03-1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression symptoms (CESD scale)</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.26-1.79</td>
<td>21.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.25-1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroid systemic drugs (0 = never taken)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.41-1.00</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CESD = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression; CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio; UFC/Cr = urinary free cortisol: creatinine ratio.

Continuous variables were standardized.
that cortisol level or variability could be a mediator of the influence of neuroticism on AD risk.

The only prospective study of which we are aware, the Rotterdam Study, did not find a relationship between cortisol and AD risk.\textsuperscript{11} Differences in cortisol collection methods could explain this discrepancy. Schrijvers et al.\textsuperscript{11} collected one cortisol measure at baseline, whereas we collected multiple measures, each representing total cortisol output per day, thus allowing examination of the relationship between average cortisol exposure over time and AD risk.

Consistent with previous reports,\textsuperscript{1,2,4,5} the future AD group had a higher UFC/Cr level than controls. The future AD group also had higher UFC/Cr variability than controls. There was no difference in UFC/Cr slope between the future AD and control groups, and UFC/Cr slope was not predictive of AD hazard. The pattern of change in UFC/Cr over time may have been complex and not adequately described by a linear UFC/Cr slope. Consistent with the well-established association between \textit{APOE} \textepsilon 4 and AD,\textsuperscript{38} \textit{APOE} \textepsilon 4 carriers had greater risk for AD relative to noncarriers, suggesting that our sample was representative.

There are several limitations to the present study. Use of 24-hour UFC provides a good measure of total daily cortisol production and excretion but obscures the cortisol circadian rhythm, which may be an important biomarker.\textsuperscript{39} CSF free cortisol, although difficult to collect in large longitudinal studies, would have provided an alternative measure of centrally active glucocorticoids. Few studies have correlated UFC to CSF cortisol, with one reporting a strong correlation ($r = 0.64$, $p < 0.01$).\textsuperscript{40}

We found significant relationships between UFC/Cr measures and AD risk using data for which the last cortisol collection occurred on average from 2.9 to 6 years before AD onset. Because AD has a prolonged prodromal period, it is difficult to distinguish causative factors from prodromal changes. The use of AD onset rather than diagnosis in our study excludes data collected during the immediate prodromal period but includes data collected during the preclinical phase of AD, when pathology may already be evident. Because the hippocampus plays a role in corticosteroid regulation via negative feedback to the hypothalamus and is affected by AD, it is possible that cortisol production may contribute to AD pathology and in turn be influenced by the development of AD.

In this study, high UFC/Cr level and high UFC/Cr variability were related to increased AD risk. Cortisol dysregulation may influence the expression of AD pathology or be a preclinical marker of AD.

### Table 4 Results of sensitivity analysis using urinary free cortisol/creatinine ratio and covariate data collected ≥5 years before Alzheimer disease onset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UFC/Cr predictor</th>
<th>UFC/Cr level</th>
<th>UFC/Cr variability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR 95% CI</td>
<td>Wald statistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFC/Cr</td>
<td>1.29 1.05-1.58</td>
<td>5.65 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{APOE} \textepsilon 4 (0 = noncarrier)</td>
<td>2.36 1.44-3.87</td>
<td>11.61 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status (0 = never smoked)</td>
<td>1.69 1.06-2.69</td>
<td>4.78 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>1.35 0.99-1.82</td>
<td>3.79 0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-density lipoprotein</td>
<td>1.28 1.03-1.59</td>
<td>4.79 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression symptoms (CESD scale)</td>
<td>1.33 1.09-1.63</td>
<td>7.83 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroid systemic drugs (0 = never taken)</td>
<td>0.69 0.40-1.19</td>
<td>1.83 0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CESD = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression; CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio; UFC/Cr = urinary free cortisol: creatinine ratio.

Continuous variables were standardized.
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Our Guidelines. Your Language.
Selected AAN evidence-based clinical practice guidelines are available in Arabic, Chinese, Hungarian, Japanese, Korean, Polish, Spanish, and Turkish languages, making it easier for you to provide high-quality care for your patients. Visit AAN.com/view/MultipleLanguages.

Share Your Artistic Expressions in Neurology ‘Visions’
AAN members are urged to submit medically or scientifically related artistic images, such as photographs, photomicrographs, and paintings, to the “Visions” section of Neurology®. These images are creative in nature, rather than the medically instructive images published in the NeuroImages section. The image or series of up to six images may be black and white or color and must fit into one published journal page. Accompanying description should be 100 words or less; the title should be a maximum of 96 characters including spaces and punctuation.
Learn more at www.aan.com/view/Visions, or upload a Visions submission at submit.neurology.org.

Neurology.org Offers Important Information to Patients and Their Families
The Neurology® Patient Page provides:
• A critical review of ground-breaking discoveries in neurologic research that are written especially for patients and their families
• Up-to-date patient information about many neurologic diseases
• Links to additional information resources for neurologic patients
All Neurology Patient Page articles can be easily downloaded and printed, and may be reproduced to distribute for educational purposes. Click on the ‘Patients’ link on the home page (Neurology.org) for a complete index of Patient Pages.